

Mechanisms and Effects of Interpersonal Pressure

Mufan Sun^{1,a,*}

¹College of Education, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei Province, 071002, China

a. sunmufan0821@163.com

*corresponding author

Abstract: This paper provides an overview of how interpersonal stress is evolving into a major issue in contemporary culture. The complicated expectations and standards that frequently exist in interpersonal interactions as well as the quick evolution of society both contribute to interpersonal stress, which people frequently encounter when forming relationships and talking with others. The psychological and physiological aspects of interpersonal stress have been thoroughly investigated in well-established studies, and it has been discovered that they have an effect on the activation of particular brain regions. Currently, interpersonal circumstances such as strong friendships, family ties, peer interactions, and extremely violent maltreatment are the focus of international research. Additionally, interpersonal stress has a substantial impact on how well people retain their connections and function at work. A comprehensive comprehension of the origins, mechanisms, and consequences of interpersonal stress is essential for implementing effective interventions and providing support to individuals and organizations. As a result, this study will employ a literature review and systematic analysis to describe important research advancements, summarize and evaluate the literature in the research area, summarize recent research findings on interpersonal stress, and pinpoint gaps in the body of existing knowledge. Research has shown that interpersonal stress is becoming an increasingly important concern in modern society.

Keywords: interpersonal pressure, interpersonal relationships, pressure

1. Introduction

Interpersonal stress has recently emerged as a major worry for people from all walks of life due to the rapid development of society and the often complex expectations and standards that accompany interpersonal relationships. When people connect and communicate with others, interpersonal stress frequently results, and it is the pressure that people experience during this process [1-2].

The psychological and physiological factors that underlie interpersonal stress have received a lot of study, and the emotions it causes have an impact on the activation of specific brain areas [3].

The majority of international study has been on interpersonal settings such as close friendships, family ties, and peer interactions as well as extreme cases like violent abuse [4-6]. Interpersonal stress also significantly affects a person's ability to maintain relationships and function well at work.

In order to effectively intervene and support both individuals and organizations, it is important that we have a thorough grasp of the causes, mechanisms, and repercussions of interpersonal stress. This

will enable us to better comprehend the difficulties and challenges that arise in interpersonal relationships.

In terms of research methodology, this study will use a thorough literature review and systematic analysis approach to organize and integrate the research progress in the area of interpersonal stress, gather, analyze, and synthesize research literature in related fields, summarize the current research findings on the theoretical models, measurement tools, and intervention methods of interpersonal stress, and suggest ideas for future research directions.

The purpose of this paper is to analyze and summarize the phenomenon of interpersonal stress, to discuss domestic and international research perspectives as well as coping mechanisms, to further clarify the direction of future efforts in the field of interpersonal stress research, and to organize and summarize scholarly discussions on this subject.

This study has multiple implications. First, by delving into the causes and mechanisms of interpersonal stress, it can reveal its impact on an individual's mental and physical health. Understanding how interpersonal stress affects emotional, psychological and physical health can help to develop more effective interventions and preventive measures, thereby promoting the maintenance and enhancement of mental health.

Second, this study is important in providing strategies for managing interpersonal stress. By understanding the characteristics and coping mechanisms of interpersonal stress, it can help individuals better cope with stress and regulate their emotions.

2. The Concept of Interpersonal Stress

2.1. Definition of Interpersonal Stress

In 1986, Kavanagh proposed a definition of interpersonal stress as a result of an individual's internal cognitive appraisal of the stress that arises from contact with others and interacts with the individual's external environmental variables to create interpersonal stress [7]. An individual's internal cognitive evaluation, which the individual uses to judge the stress resulting from his or her contacts with others, interacts with the individual's exterior environmental variables to create interpersonal stress [8].

2.2. Classification of Interpersonal Stress

The following categories are determined by the subject of interpersonal pressure: Kinship pressure: domestic violence, childhood trauma, parental indifference, expectancy pressure, etc. Peer pressure: bullying and rejection by peers; Spouse/partner pressure: sexual abuse; and Expectancy pressure: pressure from one's own expectations [9-10].

Interpersonal stress is divided into two categories by Bolger: regular interpersonal stress and event-based interpersonal stress [11]. Negative occurrences like confrontations, arguments, and discord between people and their family, workplace, friends, and other people are sources of everyday interpersonal stress. Contrarily, event-based interpersonal stress relates to certain occurrences that people go through, such as divorce, unemployment, and interpersonal disagreements.

2.3. The Difference Between Interpersonal Pressure and Other Pressures

The primary focus of interpersonal relationships is on interactions and relationships with others, and the common objective of interpersonal relationships is to develop and uphold intimate, sustaining, and rewarding relationships through emotional support, reciprocal assistance, and an individual's sense of well-being. They are frequently linked to a person's connections to other people in particular social networks, such as their spouses, families, friends, and coworkers. It typically takes abilities

like communication, emotional expression, intimate engagement, and conflict resolution to resolve interpersonal relationship issues.

Interactions that are task- and environment-related and involve academics, employment, finances, personal health, and the health of family members are considered non-interpersonal interactions [9]. Individuals' objectives in non-personal interactions are typically task fulfillment, goal success, and personal achievement. They affect people in a larger range of contexts, affecting them in settings as varied as education, the workplace, the economy, and the health of individuals and families. The ability, knowledge, and problem-solving abilities of the individual, such as those used in academic study, the workplace, personal finance, and health management, are typically more important in solving non-interpersonal relationship problems.

3. Measurement of Interpersonal Stress

Jing-Ping Lin et al. used Ri-Chang Cheng's Comprehensive Interpersonal Relationship Diagnostic Scale (CIRDS) to measure the subjects' interpersonal stress across four dimensions: talking to people, making friends, dealing with people, and interacting with the opposite sex. Higher scores indicate greater interpersonal stress. The scale has 28 items with "yes" and "no" answers and is scored between 1 and 0. Interpersonal stress levels range from 0 to 8, from 9 to 14, and from 15 to 28 when they are more severe. Scores ranging from 0 to 8 denote the absence of any interpersonal stress, 9 to 14 indicate mild stress, and 15 to 28 indicate severe stress.

The Interpersonal Stress Scale (ISS) is a commonly used tool for measuring how stressed out people feel when they are interacting with others. Stress in the areas of relationships, family, career, and socialising is only one of many things it includes.

A popular tool for evaluating perceived social support from diverse sources is the Interpersonal Support Evaluation Scale (ISEL). In 1985, Cohen, Mermelstein, Kamarck, and Hoberman created the scale. The ISEL has 40 measures that measure evaluative support, attributional support, substantive support, and self-esteem support—the four components of perceived social support. Researchers and clinicians regularly use the ISEL to gauge how readily available and suitable social support is in a person's life based on their subjective judgments. Interventions and support systems can be adapted to a person's unique requirements by knowing the sort and amount of social assistance they are receiving.

The Active Coping Scale (ACS) measures how much a person uses healthy coping mechanisms to deal with interpersonal stress. It is made up of a number of things that cover coping mechanisms like active problem-solving, looking for assistance, and cognitive restructuring.

Interpersonal Relationship Satisfaction Scale (IRSS): The IRSS measures how pleased people are with their interpersonal relationships. It comprises several components that address topics including closeness, satisfaction of needs, and trust.

Although not particularly created to evaluate interpersonal stress, personality characteristic measures (such as the Big Five personality traits) may have an effect on interpersonal stress in interpersonal interactions. Openness, accountability, extraversion, agreeableness, and emotional stability are all considered to be positive personality traits.

4. The Role of Interpersonal Stress

There are many different repercussions that interpersonal stress can have on people. First, interpersonal stress can make people feel tight and apprehensive. It may become difficult to get along with others, establish relationships, and deal with disagreements, which can cause emotional tension and anxiety. Second, ongoing interpersonal stress may raise the likelihood of mental health issues like anxiety, depression, and others. Stressed relationships, social exclusion, or solitude can lead to

negative feelings and psychological difficulties. Thirdly, interpersonal stress may result in social barriers for some people, who may avoid social situations out of concern for their reputation, their ability to perform, or their potential to offend others, thereby limiting their involvement. Bad interpersonal interactions and interpersonal stress can also have a bad impact on a person's self-confidence and self-esteem, making them question their own worth and talents and even developing self-doubt and feelings of inadequacy. Last but not least, the detrimental impacts of interpersonal stress may also have an impact on one's physical health, resulting in signs and symptoms like insomnia, headaches, and digestive issues. Relationships, however, can also have a beneficial effect and provide support. Positive connections with others through intimacy, friendship, and social support can give people emotional support, encouragement, and stress relief. As a result, partnerships have a significant positive impact on a person's well-being as well as their physical and mental health.

5. Conclusion

This study is dedicated to exploring in detail the conceptualization, categorization, assessment of interpersonal stress and its role in an individual's life. Research on interpersonal stress has yielded important findings and valuable insights into how individuals comprehend and cope with stress within their relationships. Various factors have been identified to have an impact on interpersonal stress, including personality traits, levels of social support, relationship quality, and cultural background. By thoroughly examining these factors, researchers have gained a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms through which interpersonal stress emerges.

In terms of coping mechanisms, researchers have explored the strategies individuals employ to navigate interpersonal stress. Positive coping techniques such as seeking assistance, engaging in problem-solving in a constructive manner, and utilizing cognitive restructuring have been identified as effective ways to cope with interpersonal stress. These techniques foster resilience and enable individuals to manage and overcome the challenges that arise within their relationships.

The consequences of interpersonal stress on mental health have garnered significant attention in research. Prolonged exposure to interpersonal tension has been closely linked to mental health issues such as depression and anxiety. Understanding the manifestations and implications of interpersonal stress in different cultural contexts is an avenue for future exploration, as it can shed light on the universal and culturally specific aspects of this phenomenon. Moreover, with the rapid advancements in technology, investigating the impact of technological developments on relationships and interpersonal stress is another promising area for future research.

This study could be improved in the following ways: first, provide more detailed background information so that readers can better understand the context and motivation of the study. Second, introduce relevant theoretical frameworks in the literature review to provide a more solid theoretical foundation for the study. Third, a complete description of the research methodology, including sample selection, data collection, and analysis methods, is provided to improve the reproducibility and methodological robustness of the study. The results of the study can also be described and analyzed in detail, and the data can be presented using graphical or tabular forms.

To address the challenges posed by interpersonal stress, future research should prioritize the development of effective psychological interventions, the provision of adequate social support, and the promotion of healthy interpersonal relationships. By designing and implementing intervention programs that target the prevention and management of interpersonal stress, researchers can contribute to the well-being and healthy development of individuals in their relationships. Further investigations into the complexities of interpersonal stress, its cultural variations, and the evolving dynamics of relationships in the digital age will contribute to the development of comprehensive frameworks and practical interventions for improved acculturation and healthy relationship functioning.

References

- [1] King, C. A., & Merchant, C. R. *J. A. o. S. R.* (2008). *Social and Interpersonal Factors Relating to Adolescent Suicidality: A Review of the Literature*. 12, 181 - 196.
- [2] Whitlock, J., Wyman, P. A., & Moore, S. R. (2014). *Connectedness and suicide prevention in adolescents: pathways and implications*. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*, 44(3), 246-272. doi:10.1111/sltb.12071.
- [3] Ozawa, S., Nakatani, H., Miyauchi, C. M., Hiraki, K., & Okanoya, K. (2022). *Synergistic effects of disgust and anger on amygdala activation while recalling memories of interpersonal stress: An fMRI study*. *Int J Psychophysiol*, 182, 39-46. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2022.09.008.
- [4] Buitron, V., Hill, R. M., Pettit, J. W., Green, K. L., Hatkevich, C., & Sharp, C. J. *J. o. a. d.* (2016). *Interpersonal stress and suicidal ideation in adolescence: An indirect association through perceived burdensomeness toward others*. 190, 143-149.
- [5] Hammen, C. (2003). *Interpersonal stress and depression in women*. *J Affect Disord*, 74(1), 49-57. doi:10.1016/s0165-0327(02)00430-5.
- [6] Johnson, J. G., Cohen, P., Gould, M. S., Kasen, S., Brown, J., & Brook, J. S. (2002). *Childhood adversities, interpersonal difficulties, and risk for suicide attempts during late adolescence and early adulthood*. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 59(8), 741-749. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.59.8.741.
- [7] Kavanagh, D. J. (1986). *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. S. Lazarus and S. Folkman, New York: Springer, 1984, pp. 444, \$31.95. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 14(4), 345-345. doi:10.1017/S0141347300015019.
- [8] Liu, Shanshan, Zhang, Qingqing, Liu, Xinying, He, Rui, & Zhu, Chunyan. 2011 *A new species of the genus Pterostilbene (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae, Pterostilbene) from China*. (2023). *Meta-analysis of the correlation between interpersonal stress and suicidal ideation Chinese Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 23(04), 410-415.
- [9] Sheets, E. S., & Craighead, W. E. (2014). *Comparing chronic interpersonal and noninterpersonal stress domains as predictors of depression recurrence in emerging adults*. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 63, 36-42. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014.09.001.
- [10] Umberson, D., Crosnoe, R., & Reczek, C. (2010). *Social Relationships and Health Behavior Across Life Course*. *Annu Rev Sociol*, 36, 139-157. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-070308-120011.
- [11] Bolger, N., DeLongis, A., Kessler, R. C., & Schilling, E. A. (1989). *Effects of daily stress on negative mood*. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 57(5), 808-818. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.57.5.808.